

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,478	12/31/2001	Joseph P. Bratt	04860.P2693	7409	
7590 04/15/2008 James C. Scheller			EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP			LI, AIMEE J		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2183	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/15/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

1. Amendments to the specification:

A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.
 B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
0/038,478	BRATT ET AL.
xaminer	Art Unit
IMEE I II	2492

The amendment document filed on <u>18 January 2008</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:

	C. Other				
	2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72.     B. Other				
	<ul> <li>□ 3. Amendments to the drawings:</li> <li>□ A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>□ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.</li> <li>□ C. Other</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>✓ 4. Amendments to the claims:</li></ul>				
	5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):				
_					
Fo	r further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.				
TIN	ME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:				
1.	Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.				
2.	Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supmental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the <b>corrected section</b> of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.				
	Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.				
	Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:  Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filled in response to a Quayle action; or  Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.				
	Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone No.				
J.S.	Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080410				

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 10/038,478

Continuation of 4(e) Other: Applicants' claims 1, 11, 25, and 35 appear to repeatedly strikethrough single characters of "a", semicolons, and spaces, however, it is not clear whether the deletion is intentional in each of these cases. The strikethrough is hard to discern, especially in semicolons, so it is unclear whether the deletion is intentional or a scanning/printing error. The strikethrough in the single space in claim 11 is also unclear, since there are "dashes" used in the claims also. As per the instructions or claim amendment practices, please use double brackets to signify deletion of 5 or less consecutive characters, especially in cases whether a strikethrough is hard to discern.

/Aimee J. Li/ Primary Examiner, AU 2183